Case 4:09-cv-04124-CW Document 145 Filed 02/03/11 Page 1 of 5 MICHELLE A. CHILDERS (SBN #197064) michelle.childers@dbr.com AMY P. FRENZEN (SBN #245368) amy.frenzen@dbr.com DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 50 Fremont Street, 20th Floor San Francisco, CA 94105-2235 Telephone: (415) 591-7500 Facsimile: (415) 591-7510 Attorneys for Defendants CENTOCOR ORTHO BIOTECH INC., erroneously served and sued herein as CENTOCOR, INC., and JOHNSON & JOHNSON UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA OAKLAND DIVISION STEPHEN WENDELL & LISA Case No. 4:09-CV-04124-CW WENDELL, his wife, for themselves and as successors in interest to MAXX WENDELL, deceased, STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING TIME Plaintiffs. v. JOHNSON & JOHNSON; CENTOCOR, INC.; ABBOTT LABORATORIES; SMITHKLINE BEECHAM d/b/a GLAXOSMITHKLINE; TEVA Amended Complaint: June 10, 2010 PHARMACEUTICALS USA; GATE Judge: Honorable Claudia Wilken PHARMACEUTICALS, a division of TEVA PHARMACEUTICALS USA; PAR PHARMACEUTICALS: MYLAN LABORATORIES, INC., Defendants.

24

25

26

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

Pursuant to Local Rule 6-2(a), the parties jointly request that the deadlines in this case be extended as set forth herein.

27

28

DRINKER BIDDLE &
REATH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING TIME SF01/728615.4

1

2

45

6 7

8 9

1011

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

22

21

23

24

2526

27

28

Drinker Biddle &

REATH LLP

ATTORNEYS AT LAW

SAN FRANCISCO

JOINT STATEMENT IN SUPPORT OF STIPULATION

The current fact discovery deadline is February 2, 2011. All parties request further time within which to conduct fact discovery. An extension of the fact discovery deadline will affect other deadlines in this case, but should have little or no effect on the April 2012 trial date.

Discovery in this case began in July of 2010 after the initial Case Management Conference on June 3, 2010. Before that time, multiple parties were dismissed and the case was narrowed in scope.

In July 2010, Defendants promptly began to collect medical records once Plaintiffs authorized the release of decedent Max Wendell's medical records.¹ As set forth in the attached, despite diligent efforts by counsel for Defendants, Defendants have been unable to obtain all medical records from third parties, who have been withholding medical records for various reasons.² (*See* Declaration of Michelle Childers, attached hereto). To conserve judicial resources, Defendants have attempted to resolve these issues informally, rather than force third party subpoena recipients to file motions to quash. After obtaining the required medical records, Defendants plan to depose key witnesses, including Plaintiffs and Max Wendell's treating physicians.

Meanwhile, in July 2010, Plaintiffs served extensive written discovery. Defendants served their responses to written discovery from August through November. Defendants have had extensive discussions over the scope of any protective order, and those issues have been resolved. Defendants collectively will produce over two million pages of documents which Plaintiffs will need to review. After that time, Plaintiffs plan to take depositions of company witnesses.

¹ Defendants provided blank authorizations to Plaintiffs on March 10, 2010. At that time, discovery had not yet begun.

² In the case of Stanford, for example, after much effort to provide documentation to Stanford from Plaintiffs in response to multiple rounds of demands, Stanford took the position that the signatures provided to them do not match the signatures on file. After much discussion with Stanford, the medical records were released and Defendants received them on January 21, 2011. Billing records from Kaiser Permanente are still outstanding.

1 Since the previous Case Management Conference, the parties have had multiple 2 conferences, and have agreed to private mediation to occur near the end of discovery. On or 3 around December 17, 2010, the parties filed a stipulated request to extend the mediation deadline 4 and the Court granted this extension. At that time, the parties notified the Court that they would 5 need additional time within which to complete fact discovery but, at that time, had not determined 6 how much additional time would be necessary. The only date that was previously extended was 7 the date for mediation, i.e., all of the discovery dates leading up to mediation remained in place. 8 THE PARTIES HEREBY STIPULATE AS FOLLOWS: 9 1. The parties agree to complete fact discovery on or before June 30, 2011. 2. 10 The parties agree that Plaintiffs shall designate any testifying expert(s) and 11 provide the report(s) required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(b) on or before August 12, 2011. 3. 12 The parties agree that Defendants shall designate any testifying expert(s) and 13 provide the report(s) required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(a)(2)(b) on or before October 30, 2012. 14 4. The parties agree to complete expert discovery on or before December 14, 2011. 15 5. The parties request that the Court set a deadline such that dispositive motions will 16 be heard on or before January 26, 2012. A case management conference will be held on the 17 same date as the dispositive motion. 18 /// 19 /// 20 /// 21 /// 22 /// 23 ///

24

25

26

27

28

///

///

///

///

///

1 /// 2 6. **DECLARATION PURSUANT TO L.R. 6-2(a)**: The parties declare that: (1) the 3 reason for the requested enlargement of time is to allow time to obtain the medical records 4 necessary to depose Plaintiffs and treating healthcare providers, to conduct those depositions once 5 the medical records have been obtained, and to allow Plaintiffs to review Defendants' voluminous 6 production of documents prior to taking depositions of company witnesses; (2) there has been 7 only one previous modification to the schedule for the case — a request to extend the deadline to 8 conduct mediation, at which time Defendants notified the Court that the instant request was on 9 the horizon; and (3) the parties anticipate that this time modification will affect other discovery 10 deadlines set for this case but will not alter the April 2012 trial date. 11 12 /s/ Kevin Haverty /s/ Andrew P. Bautista Andrew P. Bautista (pro hac vice) Kevin Haverty (pro hac vice) 13 WILLIAMS ČÜKER BEREZOVSKY KIRKLAND & ELLÎS LLP 300 North LaSalle Woodland Falls Corporate Park 14 Chicago, Illinois 60654 210 Lake Drive East, Suite 101 Counsel for Abbott Laboratories Cherry Hill, NJ 08002 15 Counsel for Plaintiffs 16 /s/ Michelle A. Childers /s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. 17 Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (pro hac vice) Michelle A. Childers DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP **ULMER & BERNE LLP** 18 600 Vine Street, Suite 2800 50 Freemont Street, 30th Fl. Cincinnati, OH 45202 San Francisco, CA 94105 19 Counsel for Par Pharmaceutical, Inc. Counsel for Centocor Ortho Biotech, Inc. and Johnson & Johnson 20 21 /s/ William A. Hanssen /s/ Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr. (pro hac vice) William A. Hanssen 22 **ULMER & BERNE LLP** DRINKER BIDDLE & REATH LLP 600 Vine Street, Suite 2800 333 South Grand Ave., Ste. 1650 23 Cincinnati, OH 45202 Los Angeles, CA 90071-1504 Counsel for SmithKline Beecham Corporation Counsel for Teva Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc. 24 d/b/a GlaxoSmithKline 25 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED. 26 Dated: 2/3/2011 27 United States District Judge 28

DRINKER BIDDLE &
REATH LLP
ATTORNEYS AT LAW
SAN FRANCISCO

1	ATTESTATION PURSUANT TO GENERAL ORDER 45
2	I, Michelle A. Childers, am the ECF user whose ID and password are being used to file
3	this STIPULATED REQUEST FOR AN ORDER EXTENDING TIME. In compliance with
4	General Order 45, X.B., I hereby attest that the following attorneys have concurred in this filing:
5	Kevin Haverty, counsel for Plaintiffs; Prentiss W. Hallenbeck, Jr., counsel for Teva
6	Pharmaceuticals USA, Inc., and Par Pharmaceutical, Inc.; William A. Hanssen, counsel for
7	SmithKline Beecham Corporation; and Andrew P. Bautista, counsel for Abbott Laboratories.
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	